

# Motion 1: CVPR 2018

## **Motion 1: CVPR 2018**

The sole CVPR 2018 bid is hereby approved.

- Yes
- No, there should be no CVPR in 2018

**Motion Passes**

**Yes: 686 (97%)**

**No CVPR in 2018: 20 (3%)**

# Poll: Sponsorship Model for Future CVPRs

## **Poll: Sponsorship Model for Future CVPRs**

Which of the following models for financial sponsorship do you prefer for future CVPRs:

- 100% sponsorship by CVF, with papers in open access, and with best efforts made to also publish the papers in IEEE Xplore and to keep the IEEE name in the conference's official title
- Joint sponsorship between CVF and IEEE (not including the IEEE Computer Society), subject to the constraint that open access is retained
- 100% sponsorship by the IEEE Computer Society, even if this removes open access.

**100% sponsorship by CVF: 446 (64%)**

**Joint CVF and non-CS IEEE: 237 (34%)**

**100% IEEE Computer Society: 15 (2%)**

# Poll: Definition of a Prior Publication with Respect to arXiv

## **Poll: Definition of Prior Publication with Respect to arXiv**

**(Sponsor: Ramin Zabih)**

A paper that is only published in arXiv does not count as prior publication with respect to CVPR.

- Agree
- Disagree

**Agree: 412 (58%)**

**Disagree: 294 (42%)**

# Poll: Definition of a Prior Publication with Respect to Workshops

## **Poll: Definition of Prior Publication with Respect to Workshops**

**(Sponsor: Cordelia Schmid; Co-Sponsors: Francis Bach, Samy Bengio, Yoshua Bengio, Yann LeCun, and Bernhard Schölkopf)**

A workshop publication with no more than 4 pages including citations and not published in any official proceeding, does not count as prior publication with respect to CVPR.

- Yes
- No

**Agree: 448 (64%)**

**Disagree: 251 (36%)**

# Motion 2: CVPR 2019 and ICML 2019

## **Motion 2: CVPR 2019 and ICML 2019**

**(Sponsor: Ramin Zabih)**

In 2019, CVPR should be co-located with ICML.

- Yes
- No

**Motion Passes**

**Yes: 347 (51%)**

**No: 336 (49%)**

# Motion 3: Interactions with the Media

## **Motion 3: Interactions with the Media**

**(Sponsor: Michael Black)**

Papers submitted to CVPR must not be discussed with the media until they have been officially accepted for publication. Violations of the embargo will result in the paper being removed from the conference and proceedings.

- Yes
- No

**Motion Passes**

**Yes: 555 (79%)**

**No: 150 (21%)**

# Motion 4: CVPR Errata

## **Motion 4: CVPR Errata** **(Sponsor: Michael Black)**

CVPR will publish errata for papers from previous conferences. Authors may submit an erratum in the standard CVPR format. Such submissions will be reviewed by the program committee or may be delegated to an Errata Chair. Submissions should be titled "Erratum to: <original paper title>, (CVPR <original year>)". Errata that are accepted for publication will appear in the proceedings in a separate Errata section. Errata will not be presented at the conference. Note that errata are designed to correct small errors in a paper and are not a mechanism for dealing with fraudulent results. Fraudulent papers should be formally retracted.

- Yes
- No

**Motion Passes**

**Yes: 613 (87%)**

**No: 90 (13%)**

# Motion 5: Childcare at CVPR

## **Motion 5: Childcare at CVPR**

**(Sponsor: Michael Black)**

CVPR organizers are strongly encouraged to provide babysitting or daycare facilities for infants and young children during all official conference activities including oral sessions, poster sessions, and social events. When registering for the conference, attendees will be able to state their needs in advance. The conference organizers will then attempt to provide appropriate facilities. Costs, including insurance, will be borne by the conference through the general registration fees or from corporate gifts.

Yes

No

**Motion Passes**

**Yes: 489 (70%)**

**No: 208 (30%)**



# Poll: Preserving the IEEE Name for CVPR

## **Poll: Preserving the IEEE Name for CVPR**

Suppose that you had to choose between keeping the IEEE name in CVPR's official title, and keeping free CVF-style Open Access. Which one would you prefer?

- It is more important to have free Open Access than to preserve the IEEE name
- It is more important to preserve the official IEEE name than to have free Open Access

**Preserve Open Access: 565 (81%)**

**Preserve IEEE Name: 136 (19%)**

# Poll: Professional Memberships

## **Poll: Professional Memberships**

Which of the following organizations do you belong to? Check all that apply.

- The Computer Vision Foundation (CVF)
- IEEE
- IEEE Computer Society (IEEE-CS)
- ACM

Other IEEE Society or Council (insert comma separated names):

CVF: 375

ACM: 131

IEEE: 390

Other: 39

IEEE-CS: 147