

# Motion 1: CVPR 2019 Location



**Long Beach: 405**

Silicon Valley: 338

# Motion 2: Change in CVPR Bidding Timeline

## **Motion 2: Change in CVPR Bidding Timeline**

The CVPR 2021 team and venue will be voted on at CVPR 2017, and from then on all future venues will be voted on 4 years in advance.

Yes  No

**Motion Passes**

**Yes: 535**

No: 197

# Motion 3: Site Selection for CVPR 2020

## **Motion 3: Site Selection for CVPR 2020**

If Motion 2 passes, the site and team selection for CVPR 2020 will be performed by the executive committee, with their choice subject to ratification by vote of the PAMI-TC at CVPR 2017.

[If this motion does not pass, CVPR 2020 will be voted on at CVPR 2017 as usual.]

Yes  No

**Motion Passes**

**Yes: 487**

No: 233

# Motion 4: TC Executive Committee Oversight of the CVPR Site Selection Process

## **Motion 4: TC Executive Committee Oversight of the CVPR Site Selection Process**

The TC executive committee is charged with reviewing the CVPR site selection process, to determine the tradeoffs involved with limiting the set of sites. A report should be prepared in time for CVPR 2017, along with any motions.

Yes  No

**Motion Passes**

**Yes: 637**

No: 81

# Motion 5: Disclosure of Competing Financial Interests

## **Motion 5: Disclosure of Competing Financial Interests**

Authors of all CVPR papers are required to add a statement right after the acknowledgments section and before the reference list that specifies whether they have a competing financial interest. The statement should be as short as possible but must disclose all financial benefits (e.g., company ownership, stocks, paid consultancy, gifts, etc.). Such a statement may be omitted if the competing financial interest is already clear from the affiliation of one or more authors on the paper or from acknowledged funding.

A good definition of competing finance interests can be found in the policy used by [Nature](#).

Yes  No

**Motion Passes**

**Yes: 512**

No: 210

# Non-binding Poll 1: Corporate Sponsorship of the Computer Vision Foundation Open Access Archive

## **Non-binding Poll #1: Corporate Sponsorship of Computer Vision Foundation Open Access Archive**

Suppose that corporate sponsorship of the CVF open access site could provide revenue that was used to reduce CVPR registration costs, especially for students. In return the corporate sponsors would be featured on the web site. Would you be in favor of this?

Yes  No

**Motion Passes**

**Yes: 608**

No: 113

# Non-binding Poll 2: Holding CVPR 2017 in Canada

## **Non-binding Poll #2: Holding CVPR 2017 in Canada**

CVPR 2017 has been moved from San Puerto Rico, Puerto Rico because of the Zika virus. We now may face the choice of an imperfect US venue, or a venue in Canada. For example, the US venue might require us to meet in mid-May or mid-July instead of late June. Canada has good venues (Montreal and Quebec City) that are available in mid-June, but international attendees, particularly foreign students at US universities, can have issues re-entering the US if their visas have expired or are close to expiration. Given these options, please select your preference.

- I prefer the visa risks of a Canadian venue to an imperfect US venue
- I prefer an imperfect US venue to the visa risks of a Canadian venue

**I prefer the visa risks of a Canadian venue: 481**

I prefer an imperfect US venue: 253